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1 Motivation

This advanced seminar, which will be spread over consecutive Fall and Spring semesters, takes a level look at what it means to be human. The theme for Fall 2018 was INDIVIDUALS; the theme for Spring 2019 is SOCIETY. A brief history of the idea behind this seminar can be found in chapter 0 of the draft titled Inconvenient Truths, which is included in the course pack (available on Blackboard).

Our discussions will focus on a series of frank, and therefore far from rosy, assessments of human nature and the human condition, taking up select topics that range from beauty, children, consciousness, death, happiness, and love to memory, old age, parenthood, stupidity, suffering, and war. One of the possible outcomes of our deliberations will be the emergence of a counterbalance for, and perhaps an antidote to, the popular “positive psychology” literature. The discussion materials and the readings for each topic are based in part on a distillation of views gleaned from literature, philosophy, and the arts and in part on a synthesis of dozens of academic papers and books in psychology, neuroscience, and computational cognitive science, including some by the instructor.

An annotated provisional reading list, with the readings grouped by weekly topic, appears in section 3 following some important notes for seminar participants. Minor changes to the readings, based in part on your feedback, may be made as the semester progresses.

2 Notes for participants

This section contains essential information for participants: the inclusion statement, ground rules for discussion, and credit requirements.

2.1 Diversity, inclusion, and ground rules for discussion

Unlike in a large-enrollment lecture-based course, in which some students may choose, and succeed, to remain virtually anonymous, in a small-class seminar setting you are required to speak in front of the class (when presenting) and are expected to contribute to the discussion on other occasions. Because your informed opinion on every aspect of the material is unique and valuable, I shall strive to facilitate the conversation so as to make all voices heard. In this, I’ll be counting on your help, and on the help of your classmates.

Even matters of “consensus” are not always easy to talk about, as the rare dissenters who dare voice their opposition know full well; how then should we approach potentially controversial topics? With care and compassion, diligence, openness, and daring: care for our shared humanity; diligence with regard to the relevant knowledge and findings; openness to informed dissent; and daring to venture into uncharted territory, as befits good education.

If at any point during the semester (no matter whether in class or after hours) you feel that you need to talk about any of these things, please let me know immediately — doing so will be my top priority.

---

1The remarks in section 2.1, which are specific to this course, are intended to supplement the official Cornell statement on diversity and inclusion, which covers dimensions such as gender, race, socio-economic background, etc., and which can be found here: http://diversity.cornell.edu/. In addition, the Cornell academic integrity code (https://cuinfo.cornell.edu/aic.cfm) applies, as always.
2.2 Credit and grading

There are three components to getting credit for this seminar:

1. Attend and contribute to the discussion during the weekly meetings. For each of at least 10 of the meetings, post on Blackboard a question or thought based on the weekly readings, which could be used in discussion. Please include the week number in the post title.

2. Participate in two separate weekly presentations. Each presentation/discussion will be led by a team of three or four students. The presenters should be ready for clarification questions and interruptions at any time during the presentation.

   IMPORTANT: please choose your two topics and co-presenters by the second meeting of the semester.
   To sign up for one of the presentation slots, follow this link.
   A typical presentation should include
   • a brief introduction to the theme and an overview of the background to each paper and its methodology;
   • the findings, as illustrated by the plots or (in the absence of graphics) by a concise verbal description;
   • a critique of the paper’s approach;
   • a summary of the paper’s conclusions and their significance for the weekly theme and for the seminar’s topic at large.

   The presenting teams are required to meet with the instructor ahead of their presentation, to address any questions and coordinate the details.

3. A week after the last meeting, submit a written summary of your impressions and lessons from the seminar, in a short-essay form (about 1000 words).

Final grade components:
Weekly questions and participation: 30%
Presentations: 50%
Final essay: 20%

3 Weekly topics and readings

Representative readings, grouped by topic, are listed below. They also appear in alphabetical order at the end of the document.

3.1 Meeting 1: intro & overview; evolution (January 28)

WHY THIS SEMINAR, AND WHAT THE READINGS ARE LIKE. Imperatrix mundi.

Readings:


Optional:


3.2 Meeting 2: language (February 4)

**The tentacles of intent. The ring of fire. Gaming the game. Big two-hearted river.**

Readings:


Optional:


3.3 Meeting 3: love (February 11)

**What a lovely way to burn. Che cosa e amor. Tuqburni.**

Readings:


Optional:


### 3.4 Meeting 4: children, the raising of (February 18)

**BE GOOD. PLAN B. OTHER WAYS TO BE.**

Readings:


Optional:


3.5 Meeting 5: parents, the liberation from (March 4)

BE GOOD. BE YOU. BE BACK.

Readings:


Optional:


3.6 Meeting 6: religion (March 11)

IT’S ONLY NATURAL. OPIUM FOR THE MASSES. CASUS BELLI.

Readings:


Optional:


3.7 Meeting 7: morality (March 18)

IT’S THE EDUCATION, STUPID.

Readings:


Optional:


3.8 Meeting 8: truth (April 8)

DROWNED IN HER WELL.

Readings:


Optional:

3.9 Meeting 9: poverty (April 15)

STRUCTURAL, SYSTEMIC. CUI BONO?

Readings:


Optional:


3.10 Meeting 10: power (April 22)

THE WAY OF THE WORLD. CLASS. ELITES.

Readings:


Optional:


### 3.11 Meeting 11: politics (April 29)

**DEMOCRACY AND OTHER MYTHS.** *WHAT IF...*

Readings:


Optional:


### 3.12 Meeting 12: stupidity (May 6)

**THE GODS THEMSELVES CONTENT IN VAIN.**

Readings:


Optional:
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